

Article

Ultrasonographic evaluation of cerebral cortical development in growth-restricted versus matched average gestational age fetuses, a prospective cohort study

Amany Ibrahim Mohammed Azab1*, Tarek Abd El Zaher Karkour2, Tamer Mohammed Abd El Aziz Ibrahim Hassan 3, Tamer Mamdouh Abd El Dayem 4

- 1 Assistant Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, Egypt
- 2 Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, Egypt
- 3 Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, Egypt
- 4 Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, Egypt
- *Correspondence: Amany Ibrahim Mohammed Azab, Assistant Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, Egypt.

Abstract

Background: Fetal growth restriction (FGR) contributes significantly to prenatal and long-term morbidity, including neurological impairments. *Objective*: Assessment of cortical development in FGR fetuses in pregnancies complicated by preeclampsia compared to uncomplicated pregnancies using two-and three-dimensional ultrasonography. *Methods*: Observational prospective cohort study involving 300 pregnant women (25–37 gestational weeks); 150 had pre-eclampsia with FGR, and 150 had normal pregnancies with average gestational age (AGA) fetuses matched by gestational age at fetal neurosonography (±2weeks). Fetal Doppler and fetal biometry were done. In the axial transventricular plane, the insula and sylvian fissure depths were measured. Using two- or three-dimensional ultrasonography, the corpus callosum's length and thickness were measured in the mid-sagittal plane. Using two- or three-dimensional ultrasonography, the depths of the calcarine fissure in the coronal trans-cerebellar plane and the cingulate fissure in the transcaudal plane were measured. *Results:* These 300 pregnancies were separated into 6 groups according to gestational age using a 2 week gap.

Regarding age, BMI, gravidity, parity, and abortion, the study groups were comparable. Cases complicated by PE and FGR displayed a distinct pattern of fetal cortical development on fetal neurosonography, as evidenced by significantly shallower sylvian fissure measurements in each group of FGR compared to AGA fetuses. There was no statistically significant difference in insula depth between the two groups, although the FGR group's corrected insula depth (Insula depth (mm) /BPD) was deeper than AGA group's. The corpus callosum length was shorter in the FGR groups than in the AGA groups. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups for the anterior, middle, and posterior measurements of corpus callosum thickness. Between study groups, there were no discernible variations in calcarine and cingulate fissure depth. *Conclusion:* In FGR fetuses, neurosonography appears to be a sensitive method for identifying subtle anatomical variations in brain development.

Keywords: Cortical development, neurosonography, fetal growth restriction, corpus callosum, sylvian fissure, insula, cingulate fissure, calcarine fissure.

Introduction

In perinatal medicine, fetal growth restriction (FGR) is a common disorder that affects roughly 5-8% of live newborn babies.(1) FGR contributes significantly to prenatal and long-term morbidity (2-4) including neurological impairments, which are among the sequelae that are most frequently observed in this population.(5-7) When a fetus' estimated weight falls below the 10th centile, it is regarded as small in medical practice,(8) in the absence of genetic syndromes or fetal infections.

The term "brain sparing effect" in fetal growth restriction refers to a shift in the fetus's oxygenation pattern brought on by a redistribution of cerebroplacental blood flow caused by placental insufficiency as detected by Doppler ultrasound.(9) Cerebral development is delayed as a result of these aberrant blood flow and oxygen patterns. (9-13)

Throughout pregnancy, the fetal brain develops in a complex yet wellorganized progressive manner, with sporadic periods of rapid brain development (most notably at 26–28 weeks of gestation). (14-17).

Cortical expansion in thickness and surface area is linked to the process of new neuron development and neuronal migration towards the outer brain surface.(18) This stress-induced transformation of the cortex's smooth surface into a complex network of sulci and gyri, known as cortical folding, begins at about 18 weeks of gestational age (GA) and is closely connected with GA.(19)

Prenatal ultrasonography examination of fetal sulcus development to comprehend cortical maturation and development has become prevalent. To evaluate the development of the fetal cerebral sulcus, trans-abdominal two-dimensional ultrasonography has traditionally been the primary technique.(20) Recent studies have detailed the use of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and three-dimensional ultrasonography to evaluate the development of cerebral fissures in fetuses. (21-23)

The current study aimed to assess cortical development in fetal growth restricted and matched average gestational age fetuses using two-and three-dimensional ultrasonography.

Methods

Study population

The current study was an observational prospective cohort study conducted in Alexandria, Egypt, in the ultrasonography department of El Shatby Maternity Hospital between December 2019 and May 2022. After explaining the purpose of the study and getting everyone's informed consent.

The study involved 300 pregnant women, with gestational ages ranging from 25 to 37 gestational weeks. Of these, 150 had pre-eclampsia and fetal growth restriction, (with at least one of the following criteria; abnormal umbilical artery PI,(24) abnormal cerebro-placental ratio,(25) abnormal uterine artery PI(26)or the estimated fetal weight less than 3rd centile for gestational age) and 150 low-risk pregnant women with average gestational age fetuses (AGA) who were matched with cases according to gestational age at the time of fetal neurosonography (±2weeks) after signing their informed consents. The local ethics committee gave its approval to the study protocol and patients who agreed to participate did so voluntarily. Multiple pregnancies, chromosomal anomalies, and congenital defects were excluded from the study. AGA was defined as birth weight ≥10th centile, whereas FGR was considered if birth weight was <10th centile.(27) Based on the length of the crown-rump in the first trimester or the last menstrual period, gestational age was determined for each pregnancy.(28)

Data collection and study protocol

Maternal age, body mass index (BMI), maternal medical history (autoimmune and/or chronic illness presence), and obstetric history were all reported at enrollment (gravidity, parity, abortion). At (25-37 weeks' gestation), an estimated fetal weight and fetoplacental Doppler were recorded. Fetoplacental Doppler measurements included evaluation of the uterine arteries,(26) umbilical artery(29) and middle cerebral artery(29) as well as determination of the cerebroplacental ratio.(25)

Neurosonography

Detailed neurosonographic examination at 25–37weeks' gestation was performed using a Voluson P8 (GE Healthcare Ultrasound, Zipf, Austria) ultrasound device equipped with a RAB 2-6-D probe. Following the recommendations of the International Society of Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology (ISUOG), we conducted all measurements in the brain hemisphere that was further away from the probe, regardless of the fetal side, to avoid shadowing from the fetal skull bones,(30) using a transabdominal technique, incorporating transthalamic and transventricular planes.

Insular depth was measured in the axial transventricular plane, with the anterior horns, cavum septum pellucidum, atrium, posterior horn of the lateral ventricle, and choroid plexus serving as anatomical landmarks. The insula's depth was then determined by drawing a perpendicular line from the midline to the upper border of the insular cortex at its highest prominence.(31)

The depth of the sylvian fissure was measured in the same plane as previously described, with a continuous line extending from the outermost border of the insular cortex (perpendicular to the midline) in the direction of the inner table of the parietal bone. (as shown in figure 1,a). (31)

The length of corpus callosum was determined from the most anterior part of the genu to the most posterior part of the splenium tracing a straight rostrocaudal line between the two points.(32)

The anterior, middle, and posterior parts of the corpus callosum, which correlate to the genu, body, and splenium thickness, were measured. (figure 1,b).(33)

In the mid-coronal plane (transcaudal plane), the cingulate fissure was measured by tracing a perpendicular line from the midline to its tip. (Figure 1,c).(23)

The depth of the calcarine fissure was measured in the coronal trans-cerebellar plane by extending a perpendicular line from its midline to its peak. (Figure 1,d).(31)







Figure 1 (a–d) Fetal neurosonographic images obtained in normal fetus, showing the study measurements. (a) Axial transventricular plane and measurements of insula depth and Sylvian fissure depth. (b) Measurement of corpus callosum length and thickness using 2 D ultrasound mid-sagittal plane. (c) Coronal transthalamic plane showing measurement of the cingulate fissure depth. (d) Coronal transcerebellar plane, showing measurement of the calcarine fissure depth.

3D neurosonography:

The sweep angle of the two brain volumes during acquisition was chosen between 45° and 80° depending on gestational age. The two brain volumes were recorded in the axial transventricular plane and transcerebellar plane. Both maternal respiration and fetal movements were absent throughout acquisition. In three orthogonal planes, the volumes were represented.(34)

To align the orthogonal planes into a common orientation, systemic volume manipulation was carried out in the multiplanar display mode. The axial plane of the brain was depicted in Plane A, the coronal plane in Plane B, and the mid-sagittal plane of the brain in Plane C (Figure 2).





Figure (2): Three-dimensional (3D) ultrasound image of the transventricular plane showing the three orthogonal planes and multiplanar view. Plane A is the axial plane, Plane B the coronal plane and Plane C is the mid-sagittal plane of the fetal brain.

Brain volume in the axial transventricular plane:

In the midsagittal plane, the whole corpus callosum could be seen, and morphological examination was carried out in respect to the axial and coronal planes, including the rostrum, genu, body, and splenium (Figure 2). The length of corpus callosum was determined from the most anterior part of the genu to the most posterior part of the splenium by tracing a straight rostrocaudal line between the two points.(32) Corpus callosum thickness was measured in its anterior, middle and posterior portions corresponding to the genu, body and splenium thickness.(33)

In the coronal plane, the cingulate fissure was visible, and morphological analysis was carried out with respect to the axial and midsagittal planes. By drawing a perpendicular line from the midline to the apex of the cingulate fissure, the cingulate fissure was measured.(23)

Brain volume in the axial transcerebellar plane:

In the coronal plane, the calcarine fissure could be seen, and morphological analysis was done with respect to the axial and midsagittal planes. By drawing a perpendicular line from the midline to the apex of the calcarine fissure, the depth of the calcarine fissure was calculated.(31)

All measurements were expressed in mm and after that adjusted by fetal head size ; Insula, Sylvian fissure, cingulate fissure and calcarine fissure depths were adjusted by biparietal diameter (BPD),(35) and corpus callosum length and thickness were adjusted by cephalic index (CI).(36) CI was calculated dividing BPD by occipitofrontal diameter (OFD), using the formula: CI=BPD/OFD×100. BPD and OFD were measured in the transthalamic plane following ISUOG guidelines.(37)

Statistical analysis: The data was evaluated statistically using IBM SPSS software, version 20.0.IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York. Numbers and percentages were used to describe qualitative data. The normality of the distribution was examined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The range (minimum and maximum), mean, standard deviation, median, and interquartile range were used to characterize quantitative data (IQR). P< 0.05 was regarded as significant for the analysis.

The chi-square test was employed for categorical variables and group comparisons. A Student t-test was used to compare two groups under study for quantitative variables with normally distributed distributions. Use the Mann-Whitney test to compare two groups under study with improperly distributed quantitative variables.

RESULTS

A total of 300 pregnancies made up the cohort, 150 of which were PE with FGR (25– 37 gestational weeks) and 150 of which were uncomplicated low risk pregnancies. According to the gestational age at neurosonography, they were divided into 6 groups, each with a 2 week gap. Table 1 displays the baseline characteristics and fetoplacental Doppler of the study population.

	FGR (n = 150)	AGA(n = 150)	Test of Sig.	р	
Age					
Mean ± SD.	27.25 ± 5.86	28.29 ± 4.54	t=	0.085	
Median (Min. – Max.)	26.50 (23.0 - 31.0)	28.0 (25.0 - 32.0)	1.731	0.085	
BMI					
Mean ± SD.	28.76 ± 4.70	28.92 ± 4.0	t=	0.746	
Median (Min. – Max.)	28.0 (25.70- 31.60)	29.10 (20.10 - 37.90)	0.324	0.746	
Gravidity					
Primary	73 (48.7%)	60 (40.0%)	$\chi^2 =$	0 1 0 1	
Multi	77 (51.3%)	90 (60.0%)	2.283	0.131	
Mean ± SD.	2.11 ± 1.48	2.14 ± 1.23	U=	0.010	
Median (Min. – Max.)	2.0(1.0 - 3.0)	2.0(1.0 - 3.0)	10541.0	0.318	
Parity	· · · ·	· · · ·			
Null para	85 (56.7%)	68 (45.3%)	2		
Primary para	34 (22.7%)	41 (41.3%)	$\chi^{2=}$	0.140	
Multi para	31 (20.7%)	41 (41.3%)	3.931		
Mean ± SD.	0.73 ± 1.01	0.90 ± 0.99	TT 00// -	0.040	
Median (Min. – Max.)	0.0(0.0-1.0)	1.0(0.0-2.0)	U= 9964.5	0.063	
Abortion	· · · ·	· · · ·			
No	113 (75.3%)	124 (82.7%)	2		
1	28 (18.7%)	20 (13.3%)	$\chi^{2}=$	0.295	
2+	9 (6.0%)	9 (4.0%)	2.444		
Mean ± SD.	0.39 ± 0.90	0.23 ± 0.60	11 10100 -	0 11 4	
Median (Min. – Max.)	0.0(0.0-0.0)	0.0(0.0-0.0)	U = 10408.5	0.114	
Umbilical PI	· · · ·	· · · ·			
Mean ± SD.	1.44 ± 0.55	0.95 ± 0.19	U=	.0.001*	
Median (Min. – Max.)	1.39 (1.20 – 1.59)	0.94 (0.85 – 1.0)	2187.5*	<0.001*	
MCA PI					
Mean ± SD.	1.57 ± 0.36	2.19 ± 0.78	U=	0.0045	
Median (Min. – Max.)	1.59 (1.30 – 1.80)	2.0 (1.70 - 2.50)	4398.0*	<0.001*	
CPR Centile		· · · · · ·			
Mean ± SD.	5.39 ± 9.51	70.25 ± 23.40	U=	0.0044	
Median (Min. – Max.)	3.0 (1.0 - 6.0)	81.0 (64.0 - 81.0)	276.5*	<0.001*	
Mean uterine PI	· · · · · ·				
Mean ± SD.	1.32 ± 0.37	0.77 ± 0.15	t=	0.0015	
Median (Min. – Max.)	1.24 (1.0 – 1.56)	0.79 (0.66 – 0.89)	16.833*	< 0.001*	
Uterine PI Centile	· /				
Mean ± SD.	97.53 ± 4.42	55.09 ± 27.84	U=	.0.001*	
Median (Min. – Max.)	99.0 (97.0 – 99.0)	60.0 (34.0 - 81.0)	237.5*	<0.001*	

Table (1): Comparison between the two studied groups according to basic parameters.

SD: Standard deviationt: Student t-testp: p value for comparing between the studied groups

U: Mann Whitney test χ^2 : Chi square test*: Statistically significant at $p \le 0.05$

The study groups were similar in terms of maternal characteristics (age, BMI, gravidity, parity and abortion).

All patients with PE had proteinuria. Fetoplacental Doppler characteristics in the FGR group were significantly different from those in the AGA group.

According to fetal neurosonography, as shown in Tables 2 and 3, patients complicated by PE and FGR showed a distinct pattern of fetal cortical development from AGA. When compared to AGA, FGR fetuses in each group of patients had significantly shallower sylvian fissure (P < 0.05).

Furthermore, the FGR group's sylvian fissure (mm)/BPD adjusted value was shallower than that of the AGA group. For insula depth measures, there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups.

However, the adjusted insula depth (insula depth (mm)/BPD) of the FGR group was deeper than that of the AGA group. The corpus callosum is shorter in the FGR group than in the AGA group, and there was a statistically significant difference in corpus callosum length measurements between the two groups.

Moreover, the adjusted measurement (CC length (mm)/CI) for the FGR group was lower than that for the AGA group. The anterior, middle, and posterior measurements of corpus callosum thickness and the adjusted values showed no statistically significant difference between the two groups. There were no obvious differences in calcarine and cingulate fissure depth across study groups.

		Gestational age												
		25 – 26 weeks&6ds		27 - 28weeks&6ds		29 - 30 weeks&6ds		31 - 32 weeks&6ds		33 - 34 weeks&6ds		35 – 37 weeks		
		FGR	AGA	FGR	AGA	FGR	AGA	FGR	AGA	FGR	AGA	FGR	AGA	
		13(8.7%)	16 (10.7%)	15 (10.0%)	16 (10.7%)	22 (14.7%)	21 (14.0%)	25 (16.7%)	25 (16.7%)	33 (22.0%)	34 (22.7%)	42 (28.0%)	38 (25.3%)	
Sulvian Fi	661170	8 292 + 0 501	10.30 ± 1.205	8 95 + 0 728	$10.737 \pm$	$10.209 \pm$	$12.338 \pm$	$11.588 \pm$	$14.020 \pm$	13 164 + 1 50	$15.353 \pm$	$14.181 \pm$	$16.355 \pm$	
Sylvian II	ssure	0.272 ± 0.501	10.00 ± 1.200	0.75 ± 0.720	1.284	1.444	1.163	1.077	1.321	10.104 ± 1.00	1.576	1.504	1.468	
	t,p t=6.052*,p<0.001*		,p<0.001*	t=4.815*,p<0.001*		t=5.310*,p<0.001*		t=7.133*,p<0.001*		t=5.821*,p<0.001*		t=6.531*,p<0.001*		
Sylvian (mm)/BPD	Fissu:)	Fissure $0.139 \pm 0.0120.154 \pm 0.019$ 0.138 ± 0.011 $0.150 \pm 0.0150.147 \pm 0.0220.165 \pm 0.0120.152 \pm 0.0140.17$					0.172 ± 0.015	50.165 ± 0.023	0.181 ± 0.019	0.172 ± 0.021	0.183 ± 0.015			
	t,p	t=2.455*	,p=0.021*	t=2.617*,p=0.014*		t=3.238*,p=0.003*		t=4.966*,p<0.001*		t=3.183*,p=0.002*		t=2.761*,p=0.007*		
Incula dar	oth (mm)	$20.085 \pm$	$19.594 \pm$	$21.593 \pm$	$20.994 \pm$	22.245 ±	22.143 ±	$22.944 \pm$	$23.036 \pm$	$24.988 \pm$	$24.965 \pm$	$25.888 \pm$	$25.797 \pm$	
mouta dep)th (mm)	0.926	1.195	1.113	1.285	1.664	1.153	2.625	1.410	2.029	1.592	1.473	1.734	
	t,p	t=1.213	,p=0.236	t=1.385	,p=0.177	t=0.234,p=0.816		t=0.154,p=0.878		t=0.052,p=0.959		t=0.253,p=0.801		
Insula depth (mm) /BPD 0.337 ± 0.02		D 0.337 ± 0.024	0.292 ± 0.019	0.333 ± 0.028	0.293 ± 0.017	0.320 ± 0.025	0.296 ± 0.017	70.301 ± 0.035	0.283 ± 0.015	50.311 ± 0.023	0.295 ± 0.019	0.314 ± 0.017	0.289 ± 0.020	
	t,p	t=5.579*	,p<0.001*	t=4.807*	,p<0.001*	t=3.708*,	p=0.001*	t=2.368*,	p=0.022*	t=3.236*,	p=0.002*	t=5.829*	,p<0.001*	
Cingulate	Cingulate fissure (mm) $1.908 \pm 0.2842.194 \pm 0.624$		2.120 ± 0.208	$2.306 \pm 0.4042.723 \pm 0.3502.786 \pm 0.4603.316 \pm 0.2083.444 \pm 0.2724.061 \pm 0.3754.082 \pm 0.3394.082 \pm 0.3394.0820 \pm 0.3394.08200000000000000000000000000000000000$					4.082 ± 0.373	4.719 ± 0.427	4.858 ± 0.625			
	t,p	t=-1.524,p=0.139		t=1.628,p=0.117		t=0.507,p=0.615		t=1.869,p=0.068		t=0.238,p=0.813		t=1.169,p=0.246		
Cingulate /BPD	fissure (mr	n) 0.032 ± 0.005	50.033 ± 0.009	0.033 ± 0.004	0.032 ± 0.006	0.039 ± 0.006	0.037 ± 0.006	0.044 ± 0.003	0.042 ± 0.004	40.051 ± 0.007	0.048 ± 0.005	0.057 ± 0.006	0.055 ± 0.007	
	t,p	t=0.223,p=0.825		t=0.262	t=0.262,p=0.795		t=1.118,p=0.270		t=1.178,p=0.245		t=1.770,p=0.082		t=1.912,p=0.060	
Calcarine	fissure (mm)	7.469 ± 0.633	38.075 ± 1.443	9.173 ± 0.808	9.475 ± 0.820	10.455 ± 1.720	10.876 ± 1.404	12.496 ± 0.617	13.004 ± 1.106	14.052 ± 1.392	14.253 ± 1.720	15.269 ± 1.487	15.855 ± 1.720	
	t,p	t=1.510	,p=0.146	t=1.031	,p=0.311	t=0.878,p=0.385		t=2.005,p=0.052		t=0.526,p=0.601		t=-1.635,p=0.106		
Calcarine /BPD	fissure (mn	n) 0.126 ± 0.016	50.120 ± 0.020	0.141 ± 0.014	0.133 ± 0.014	0.151 ± 0.028	0.145 ± 0.019	0.164 ± 0.005	0.160 ± 0.012	20.176 ± 0.022	0.168 ± 0.021	0.185 ± 0.023	0.178 ± 0.020	
	t,p	t=0.805	,p=0.428	t=1.780	p=0.086 t=0.791,p=0.434 t=1.583,p=0.123 t=1.419,p=0.161					p=0.161	t=1.567,p=0.121			
SD: S	tandard deviati	on t:St	udent t-test		p: p value for c	omparing betw	veen the studied	d groups	*: Statisticall	y significant at p	≤ 0.05			

Table (2): Comparison between the two studied groups according to neurosonopraphic parameters.

						Gestation	nal age						
	25 - 26 weeks&6ds		27 - 28 weeks&6ds		29 - 30 weeks&6ds		31 - 32 weeks&6ds		33 - 34 weeks&6ds		35 – 37 weeks		
	FGR	AGA	FGR	AGA	FGR	AGA	FGR	AGA	FGR	AGA	FGR	AGA	
	13 (8.7%)	16 (10.7%)	15 (10.0%)	16 (10.7%)	22 (14.7%)	21 (14.0%)	25 (16.7%)	25 (16.7%)	33 (22.0%)	34 (22.7%)	42 (28.0%)	38 (25.3%)	
CC length (mm)	$30.831 \pm$	33.956 ±	33.133 ±	35.219 ±	$34.809 \pm$	37 176 + 2 233	37.072 ±	$38.804 \pm$	$40.358 \pm$	$42.494 \pm$	$41.317 \pm$	$45.184 \pm$	
	3.094	2.543	2.743	1.929	2.625	07.170 ± 2.200	1.871	2.712	2.333	2.324	3.440	2.946	
t,p	t=-2.988*	,p=0.006*	t=-2.462*	,p=0.020*	t=3.178*	*,p=0.003*	t=2.628*,p=0.012*		t=3.755*,p<0.001*		t=5.373*	,p<0.001*	
CC length (mm)/CI	0.402 ± 0.043	0.449 ± 0.041	0.423 ± 0.023	0.459 ± 0.037	0.448 ± 0.052	$2\ 0.496 \pm 0.042$	0.473 ± 0.037	0.510 ± 0.042	0.520 ± 0.034	0.551 ± 0.034	0.535 ± 0.056	0.581 ± 0.046	
t,p	t=3.008*,	p=0.006*	t=3.212*,	p=0.003*	t=3.360*	t=3.360*,p=0.002*		t=3.296*,p=0.002*		t=3.805,p<0.001*		t=4.044*,p<0.001*	
CC Thickness (mm)													
Anterior	2.846 ± 0.326	2.894 ± 0.489	3.033 ± 0.297	2.956 ± 0.320	3.232 ± 0.320	3.252 ± 0.343	3.360 ± 0.413	3.428 ± 0.518	3.915 ± 0.331	3.90 ± 0.470	4.107 ± 0.281	4.082 ± 0.445	
t,p	t=0.300,p=0.766 t=0.694,p=0.493		t=0.203,p=0.840		t=0.513,p=0.610		t=0.152,p=0.880		t=0.304,p=0.763				
Middle	1.623 ± 0.159	1.638 ± 0.131	1.720 ± 0.246	1.675 ± 0.267	7 1.809 ± 0.258	3 1.795 ± 0.136	2.112 ± 0.274	2.020 ± 0.318	2.273 ± 0.297	2.347 ± 0.248	2.421 ± 0.272	2.468 ± 0.336	
t,p	t=0.268,p=0.791 t=0.487,p=0.630		t=0.222,p=0.826		t=1.096,p=0.278		t=-1.114,p=0.270		t=0.690,p=0.492				
Posterior	$2.215 \pm 0.182 \ 2.463 \pm 0.506 \ 2.633 \pm 0.333 \ 2.519 \pm 0.304$		2.927 ± 0.411 2.810 ± 0.417		3.004 ± 0.413	2.996 ± 0.510	3.318 ± 0.356	3.485 ± 0.413	3.707 ± 0.388	3.721 ± 0.466			
t,p	t=1.815,p=0.085		t=1.002,p=0.325		t=0.933,p=0.356		t=0.061,p=0.952		t=-1.773,p=0.081		t=0.145,p=0.885		
CC Thickness (mm) CI	/												
Anterior	0.037 ± 0.005	0.038 ± 0.007	0.039 ± 0.004	0.039 ± 0.005	50.042 ± 0.006	$5\ 0.043 \pm 0.006$	0.043 ± 0.006	0.045 ± 0.007	0.050 ± 0.005	0.051 ± 0.006	0.053 ± 0.005	0.053 ± 0.006	
t,p	t=0.511,	p=0.614	t=0.176,	p=0.861	t=1.047	7,p=0.301	t=1.150,p=0.256		t=0.108,p=0.914		t=0.475,p=0.636		
Middle	0.021 ± 0.002	0.022 ± 0.002	0.022 ± 0.003	0.022 ± 0.004	0.023 ± 0.003	$3\ 0.024 \pm 0.002$	0.027 ± 0.004	0.027 ± 0.004	0.029 ± 0.004	0.030 ± 0.003	0.031 ± 0.004	0.032 ± 0.004	
t,p	t=0.611,	p=0.546	t=0.125,	p=0.901	t=0.986,p=0.330		t=0.356,p=0.723		t=1.306,p=0.196		t=0.412,p=0.681		
Posterior	0.029 ± 0.002	0.033 ± 0.007	0.034 ± 0.005	0.033 ± 0.004	0.038 ± 0.006	$5\ 0.038 \pm 0.006$	0.038 ± 0.006	0.039 ± 0.007	0.043 ± 0.005	0.045 ± 0.006	0.048 ± 0.005	0.048 ± 0.006	
t,p	t=1.981,	p=0.063	t=0.574,	p=0.570	t=0.017	7,p=0.987	t=0.564	,p=0.576	t=1.970	,p=0.053	t=0.031	,p=0.975	

Table (3): Comparison between the two studied groups according to neurosonopraphic parameters.

SD: Standard deviation t: Student t-test

p: p value for comparing between the studied groups

DISCUSSION

This study offers evidence that, in comparison to low risk AGA fetuses, fetuses from women with PE who have FGR show a distinct pattern of prenatal cortical development, with significantly reduced sylvian fissure depth and corrected deeper insula depth.

Numerous studies have found a connection between PE and worse than ideal neurodevelopment in the offspring. Children of PE mothers have higher incidence of neurobehavioral disorders, worse neurocognitive function, and impaired early language development, according to follow-up research. (35, 38) Population-based research using information from national registries or parents' recollections from the past provides support for these observations.(39, 40) The possible impact of confounders, particularly preterm and FGR, which are present in 60–100% and 10–90% of patients, respectively, when reported, is an issue regarding the relationship between PE and neurodevelopment. (41-43)

Functionally, it is well-known that the insula is essential for emotional wellness and plays a significant role in the processing of sensory information.(44) Autism, anxiety, and changes in the insula's grey matter volumes have all been linked to these conditions. (44, 45) Reduced perfusion and oxidative stress from placental insufficiency may lead to inadequate nutrition and oxygen availability, which may have an impact on the developing brain.(46)

Additionally, the current study reports FGR cases with shorter corpus callosum length. These outcomes are in line with those of earlier MRI and neurosonography studies. (36, 38, 47) The complex process of brain development involves the formation and myelination of white matter connections between different brain regions as well as the maturation and functional specialization of grey matter regions. (48-50) White matter matures throughout the first few years following birth, starting in the third trimester, and is particularly sensitive to hypoxia. (50) The primary commissure is the corpus callosum, which links the brain hemispheres. In the hippocampus primordium, callosal connections begin to develop more centrally and in both directions, with anterior growth becoming more apparent. (51) It has been demonstrated that the corpus callosum's development is impacted by the immature oligodendrocytes' and callosal fibres' intrinsic susceptibility to prolonged hypoxia (52, 53) and myelination deficits in the corpus callosum. (54)

We acknowledge that one of the limitations was that, beyond 36 weeks, increasing skull calcification and shadowing make cortical developmental assessment more challenging. A further limitation was that the postnatal neurodevelopmental outcome was not evaluated, which prevented us from assessing any correlation between the altered pattern of fetal cortical development observed and the outcome.

Our results support the use of neurosonography to assess neurodevelopment in FGR fetuses, making them clinically significant. Because small fetuses are so common and because of the effects they have on neurodevelopment, (6, 55) evaluating fetal cortical development and the corpus callosum could be helpful to detect small fetuses with brain reorganization in order to provide early neurodevelopmental interventions.

To evaluate the postnatal performance following fetal neurosonography in FGR fetuses, additional research is necessary.

Conclusion

Neurosonography might be used to identify minute variations in the brains of fetuses who have experienced fetal smallness. It specifically allowed us to pinpoint important variations in corpus callosum and brain development.

Financial Disclosure and Funding

No financial support was gained from any organization and no one contributed to this work other than the authors

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgement

None to declare.

Data availability

The data are available upon request.

Conflict of interest

None

References

1. Gardosi J. Intrauterine growth restriction: new standards for assessing adverse outcome. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 2009;23(6):741-9.

- 2. Ley D, Tideman E, Laurin J, Bjerre I, Marsal K. Abnormal fetal aortic velocity waveform and intellectual function at 7 years of age. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 1996;8(3):160-5.
- 3. Doctor BA, O'Riordan MA, Kirchner HL, Shah D, Hack M. Perinatal correlates and neonatal outcomes of small for gestational age infants born at term gestation. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2001;185(3):652-9.
- 4. Lindqvist PG, Molin J. Does antenatal identification of small-for-gestational age fetuses significantly improve their outcome? Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2005;25(3):258-64.
- 5. Arcangeli T, Thilaganathan B, Hooper R, Khan KS, Bhide A. Neurodevelopmental delay in small babies at term: a systematic review. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2012;40(3):267-75.
- Savchev S, Sanz-Cortes M, Cruz-Martinez R, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcome of full-term small-for-gestational-age infants with normal placental function. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2013;42(2):201-6.
- 7. Figueras F, Oros D, Cruz-Martinez R, et al. Neurobehavior in term, small-for-gestational age infants with normal placental function. Pediatrics. 2009;124(5):e934-41.
- 8. Chauhan SP, Gupta LM, Hendrix NW, Berghella V. Intrauterine growth restriction: comparison of American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists practice bulletin with other national guidelines. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2009;200(4):409.e1-6.
- Businelli C, de Wit C, Visser GHA, Pistorius LR. Ultrasound evaluation of cortical brain development in fetuses with intrauterine growth restriction. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2015;28(11):1302-7.
- 10. Donofrio MT, Massaro AN. Impact of congenital heart disease on brain development and neurodevelopmental outcome. Int J Pediatr. 2010;2010.
- 11. Koning IV, van Graafeiland AW, Groenenberg IAL, et al. Prenatal influence of congenital heart defects on trajectories of cortical folding of the fetal brain using three-dimensional ultrasound. Prenat Diagn. 2017;37(10):1008-16.
- 12. Figueras F, Cruz-Martinez R, Sanz-Cortes M, et al. Neurobehavioral outcomes in preterm, growth-restricted infants with and without prenatal advanced signs of brain-sparing. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2011;38(3):288-94.
- 13. Eixarch E, Meler E, Iraola A, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcome in 2-year-old infants who were small-for-gestational age term fetuses with cerebral blood flow redistribution. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2008;32(7):894-9.
- 14. Chi JG, Dooling EC, Gilles FH. Gyral development of the human brain. Ann Neurol. 1977;1(1):86-93.
- 15. Rakic P. Specification of cerebral cortical areas. Science. 1988;241(4862):170-6.

- 16. Volpe JJ. Neurobiology of periventricular leukomalacia in the premature infant. Pediatr Res. 2001;50(5):553-62.
- 17. Afif A, Trouillas J, Mertens P. Development of the sensorimotor cortex in the human fetus: a morphological description. Surg Radiol Anat. 2015;37(2):153-60.
- 18. Budday S, Steinmann P, Kuhl E. Physical biology of human brain development. Front Cell Neurosci. 2015;9:257.
- 19. Quarello E, Stirnemann J, Ville Y, Guibaud L. Assessment of fetal Sylvian fissure operculization between 22 and 32 weeks: a subjective approach. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2008;32(1):44-9.
- 20. Cohen-Sacher B, Lerman-Sagie T, Lev D, Malinger G. Sonographic developmental milestones of the fetal cerebral cortex: a longitudinal study. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2006;27(5):494-502.
- 21. Alves CM, Araujo Júnior E, Nardozza LM, et al. Reference ranges for fetal brain fissure development on 3-dimensional sonography in the multiplanar mode. J Ultrasound Med. 2013;32(2):269-77.
- 22. Rolo LC, Araujo Júnior E, Nardozza LM, de Oliveira PS, Ajzen SA, Moron AF. Development of fetal brain sulci and gyri: assessment through two and three-dimensional ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2011;283(2):149-58.
- 23. Pistorius LR, Stoutenbeek P, Groenendaal F, et al. Grade and symmetry of normal fetal cortical development: a longitudinal two- and three-dimensional ultrasound study. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2010;36(6):700-8.
- 24. Flatley C, Kumar S, Greer RM. Reference centiles for the middle cerebral artery and umbilical artery pulsatility index and cerebro-placental ratio from a low-risk population a Generalised Additive Model for Location, Shape and Scale (GAMLSS) approach. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2019;32(14):2338-45.
- 25. Baschat AA, Gembruch U. The cerebroplacental Doppler ratio revisited. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2003;21(2):124-7.
- 26. Gómez O, Figueras F, Fernández S, et al. Reference ranges for uterine artery mean pulsatility index at 11-41 weeks of gestation. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2008;32(2):128-32.
- 27. Figueras F, Gratacós E. Update on the diagnosis and classification of fetal growth restriction and proposal of a stage-based management protocol. Fetal Diagn Ther. 2014;36(2):86-98.
- Robinson HP, Fleming JE. A critical evaluation of sonar "crown-rump length" measurements. Br J Obstet Gynaecol. 1975;82(9):702-10.
- 29. Arduini D, Rizzo G. Normal values of Pulsatility Index from fetal vessels: a cross-sectional study on 1556 healthy fetuses. J Perinat Med. 1990;18(3):165-72.

- 30. Malinger G, Paladini D, Haratz KK, Monteagudo A, Pilu GL, Timor-Tritsch IE. ISUOG Practice Guidelines (updated): sonographic examination of the fetal central nervous system. Part 1: performance of screening examination and indications for targeted neurosonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2020;56(3):476-84.
- 31. Alonso I, Borenstein M, Grant G, Narbona I, Azumendi G. Depth of brain fissures in normal fetuses by prenatal ultrasound between 19 and 30 weeks of gestation. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2010;36(6):693-9.
- 32. Harreld JH, Bhore R, Chason DP, Twickler DM. Corpus callosum length by gestational age as evaluated by fetal MR imaging. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2011;32(3):490-4.
- 33. Lerman-Sagie T, Ben-Sira L, Achiron R, et al. Thick fetal corpus callosum: an ominous sign? Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2009;34(1):55-61.
- 34. Plasencia W, Dagklis T, Borenstein M, Csapo B, Nicolaides KH. Assessment of the corpus callosum at 20-24 weeks' gestation by three-dimensional ultrasound examination. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2007;30(2):169-72.
- 35. Egaña-Ugrinovic G, Sanz-Cortes M, Figueras F, Bargalló N, Gratacós E. Differences in cortical development assessed by fetal MRI in late-onset intrauterine growth restriction. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2013;209(2):126.e1-8.
- 36. Egaña-Ugrinovic G, Savchev S, Bazán-Arcos C, Puerto B, Gratacós E, Sanz-Cortés M. Neurosonographic assessment of the corpus callosum as imaging biomarker of abnormal neurodevelopment in late-onset fetal growth restriction. Fetal Diagn Ther. 2015;37(4):281-8.
- 37. Sonographic examination of the fetal central nervous system: guidelines for performing the 'basic examination' and the 'fetal neurosonogram'. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2007;29(1):109-16.
- Paules C, Miranda J, Policiano C, et al. Fetal neurosonography detects differences in cortical development and corpus callosum in late-onset small fetuses. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2021;58(1):42-7.
- 39. Maher GM, O'Keeffe GW, Kearney PM, et al. Association of Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy With Risk of Neurodevelopmental Disorders in Offspring: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry. 2018;75(8):809-19.
- Warshafsky C, Pudwell J, Walker M, Wen SW, Smith GN. Prospective assessment of neurodevelopment in children following a pregnancy complicated by severe pre-eclampsia. BMJ Open. 2016;6(7):e010884.
- 41. Nahum Sacks K, Friger M, Shoham-Vardi I, et al. Long-term neuropsychiatric morbidity in children exposed prenatally to preeclampsia. Early Hum Dev. 2019;130:96-100.
- 42. Sun BZ, Moster D, Harmon QE, Wilcox AJ. Association of Preeclampsia in Term Births With Neurodevelopmental Disorders in Offspring. JAMA Psychiatry. 2020;77(8):823-9.

- 43. Heikura U, Hartikainen AL, Nordström T, Pouta A, Taanila A, Järvelin MR. Maternal hypertensive disorders during pregnancy and mild cognitive limitations in the offspring. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2013;27(2):188-98.
- 44. Gasquoine PG. Contributions of the insula to cognition and emotion. Neuropsychol Rev. 2014;24(2):77-87.
- 45. Craig AD. Significance of the insula for the evolution of human awareness of feelings from the body. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2011;1225:72-82.
- 46. Spencer RN, Carr DJ, David AL. Treatment of poor placentation and the prevention of associated adverse outcomes--what does the future hold? Prenat Diagn. 2014;34(7):677-84.
- 47. Egaña-Ugrinovic G, Sanz-Cortés M, Couve-Pérez C, Figueras F, Gratacós E. Corpus callosum differences assessed by fetal MRI in late-onset intrauterine growth restriction and its association with neurobehavior. Prenat Diagn. 2014;34(9):843-9.
- 48. Naidich TP, Grant JL, Altman N, et al. The developing cerebral surface. Preliminary report on the patterns of sulcal and gyral maturation--anatomy, ultrasound, and magnetic resonance imaging. Neuroimaging Clin N Am. 1994;4(2):201-40.
- 49. Monteagudo A, Timor-Tritsch IE. Development of fetal gyri, sulci and fissures: a transvaginal sonographic study. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 1997;9(4):222-8.
- 50. Dubois J, Dehaene-Lambertz G, Kulikova S, Poupon C, Hüppi PS, Hertz-Pannier L. The early development of brain white matter: a review of imaging studies in fetuses, newborns and infants. Neuroscience. 2014;276:48-71.
- 51. Paul LK. Developmental malformation of the corpus callosum: a review of typical callosal development and examples of developmental disorders with callosal involvement. J Neurodev Disord. 2011;3(1):3-27.
- 52. Vasung L, Jovanov-Milošević N, Pletikos M, Mori S, Judaš M, Kostović I. Prominent periventricular fiber system related to ganglionic eminence and striatum in the human fetal cerebrum. Brain Struct Funct. 2011;215(3-4):237-53.
- 53. Back SA, Luo NL, Borenstein NS, Levine JM, Volpe JJ, Kinney HC. Late oligodendrocyte progenitors coincide with the developmental window of vulnerability for human perinatal white matter injury. J Neurosci. 2001;21(4):1302-12.
- 54. Langmeier M, Pokorný J, Mares J, Trojan S. Changes of the neuronal structure produced by prolonged hypobaric hypoxia in infant rats. Biomed Biochim Acta. 1989;48(2-3):S204-7.
- 55. Leitner Y, Fattal-Valevski A, Geva R, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcome of children with intrauterine growth retardation: a longitudinal, 10-year prospective study. J Child Neurol. 2007;22(5):580-7.