

Labels Impact Index (LII): an Italian version of a tool to assess the impact of advertisement on tobacco products.

Alice Mannocci, Vittoria Colamesta, Giuseppe La Torre

Department of Public Health and Infectious Diseases, "Sapienza" University of Rome, Rome, Italy

*Corresponding author: Dr. Alice Mannocci, Department of Public Health and Infectious Diseases "Sapienza" University of Rome, P.le A. Moro, 5 - 00185 Rome, Italy - Tel.: +39.06.49694308 - E-mail: <u>alice.mannocci@uniroma1.it</u>

Article history Received: June 15, 2016 Accepted: June 28, 2016 Published: June 30, 2016

Abstract

The Labels Impact Index (LII) score is a tool to evaluate the impact of health warnings of tobacco products. This score was tested in France, Germany, the Netherlands and UK to measure the effectiveness of the text-only health warnings.

The present study aims to propose an Italian version of this tool to support future researches on the health warning and to facilitate the comparison using the same questions.

Four items are translated in Italian: salience, harm, quitting and forgo. The questionnaire includes one question for each item and a multiple-choice answer, to correspond a four/five-point scales, is available for each question. The LII score can vary from 0 to 28. An international standardized tool, as the LII score, is fundamental to compare the impact of health warnings in different countries and to enforce policies to oppose the tobacco epidemic.

Keywords: health warnings; tobacco; impact; effectiveness; questionnaires; product labelling; adult.

Introduction

The literature underlines the differences in health tobacco warning effectiveness according to individuals' demographics and smoking behaviours [1-3].

Different aspects should be evaluated to assess the impact of the labels on tobacco products. The first one is the modality. Several studies compared different packaging: starting from text only, later analysing pictorial warnings and lastly standardised (plain) packages.

The second one concerns the outcomes. The effectiveness is often measured with different rates: reduction of consumption, quitting, not starting, delay starting, increased awareness, fear/anxiety, perceived risks and motivation to avoid smoking [4]. A third feature examines the target population. Female and younger smokers appear to be more involved by shock images. Also the shocking warnings appear to be supporting those who want to quit smoking [5].

In Europe, several efforts have been made to examine the effectiveness of the health warning [6,7].

In this context a score to measure the effectiveness of the text-only health warnings was published in 2012. This tool, Labels Impact Index (LII), was tested using data using data of the four nationally representative samples of smokers from the International Tobacco Control (ITC) Project Europe Surveys in France, Germany, the Netherlands and the UK. Furthermore, the LII, as referred the authors, was born to understand the possible differential impact of tobacco control policies [8].

The aim of the present study is to propose an Italian version of this tool in order to support future researches on the health warning and to facilitate the comparison using the same questions.

Methods

The questionnaire, used to calculate LII score, was translated from English to Italian by two different researchers. A comparison of the two versions was conducted from a third one.

An opportunistic sample was involved to answer to the questionnaire and to note possible inconsistent or unclear questions.

The sample included current smokers (adults who have smoked 100 cigarettes in their lifetime and who currently smoke cigarettes) [9].

Results

The original LII questionnaire in English and the translated version in Italian, for current smokers, are reported in **Table 1**. The four translated items are:

- SALIENCE: attenzione (in Italian);
- HARM: danno (in Italian);
- QUITTING: smettere di fumare (in Italian);
- FORGO: rinuncia (in Italian).

The questionnaire includes one question for each item. Moreover, a multiple-choice answer, to correspond a four/five-point scales, is available for each question.

The formula to estimate the LII score according to Hitchman et al. [8] is:

 $LII = (SALIENCE^{*1}) + (HARM^{*2}) + (QUITTING^{*2}) + (FORGO^{*3}).$

The score of the single items ranges from 1 to 4 or 5: the higher scores indicate high impacts. The LII score varies from 8 to 33.

Discussion

Packaging and labelling of tobacco products represents one of the items taken into account by the World Health Organization Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (WHO FCTC) in response to the globalization of the tobacco epidemic. In particular, Article 11 of the WHO FCTC recommended the pictorial health warnings on tobacco packages as a cost-effective measure to enhance the public awareness about the smoking effects [10].

Therefore, an international standardized tool, as the LII score, is essential not only to evaluate the effectiveness of the health warnings but especially to compare the effectiveness in different countries, according to implemented different tobacco policies.

In literature, other lifestyle were assessed using standardized questionnaire, such as International Physical Activity Questionnaires (IPAQ). IPAQ is used to evaluate the physical activity and inactivity [11]. Also for IPAQ an Italian version of the international questionnaire was realized and evaluated the reliability [12].

About evaluation, a potential limitation of this study is the lack of the reliability of this translated version of the LII score, because this questionnaire was tested only on a small sample of Italian smokers.

Therefore, further research are necessary to evaluate the impact of the health warning of tobacco product in Italy and to compare the results with the effectiveness in different countries.

Original version Hitchman et al. [8]	Italian version	Score
1. WARNING SALIENCE: in the last month, how often, if at all, have you noticed the warning labels on cigarette packages?	1. ATTENZIONE: Nell'ultimo mese, quanto hai notato le avvertenze sui pacchetti di sigarette?	
< > very often or often	< > molto spesso	5
< > often	< > spesso	4
< > sometimes	< > qualche volta	3
< > rarely	< > raramente	2
< > never	< > mai	1
2. THOUGHTS OF HARM: to what extent, if at all, do the warning labels make you think about the health risks of smoking?	2. DANNO: Fino a che punto le avvertenze ti fanno pensare ai rischi che il fumo provoca alla tua salute?	
< > a lot	< > molto	4
< > somewhat	< > qualche volta	3
< > a little	< > poco	2
< > not at all	< > per niente	1
3. THOUGHTS OF QUITTING: to what extent, if at all, do the warning labels on cigarette packs make you more likely to quit smoking?	3. PENSIERO DI SMETTERE: Fino a che punto le avvertenze sui pacchetti di sigarette ti possono aiutare a smettere di fumare?	
< > a lot	< > molto	4
< > somewhat	< > abbastanza	3
< > a little	< > poco	2
< > not at all	< > per niente	1
4. FORGOING OF CIGARETTES: in the last month, have the warning labels stopped you from having a cigarette when you were about to smoke one?	4. RINUNCIA: Nell'ultimo mese, ti è capitato di non accendere una sigaretta perché hai visto le avvertenze sul pacchetto?	
< > many times	< > molte volte	4
< > a few times	< > qualche volta	3
<> once	< > una volta	2
< > never	< > mai	1

Table1. English and Italian version of the LII score for current smokers.

Acknowledgments

The Authors would like to thank Dr. Sara C. Hitchman, Addictions Department, Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, UK - Centre for Tobacco and Alcohol Studies (UKCTAS).

References

- Borland R, Yong HH, Wilson N, Fong GT, Hammond D, Cummings KM, Hosking W, McNeill A. How reactions to cigarette packet health warnings influence quitting: findings from the ITC-Four Country survey. Addiction 2009;104:669–75.
- Nagelhout GE, Willemsen MC, de Vries H, Mons U, Hitchman SC, Kunst AE, Guignard R, Siahpush M, Yong HH, van den Putte B, Fong GT, Thrasher JF. Educational differences in the impact of pictorial cigarette warning labels on smokers: findings from the International Tobacco Control (ITC) Europe surveys. Tob Control. 2016 May;25(3):325-32.
- Shankleman M, Sykes C, Mandeville KL, Di Costa S, Yarrow K. Standardised (plain) cigarette packaging increases attention to both text-based and graphical health warnings: experimental evidence. Public Health. 2015 Jan;129(1):37-42.
- 4. Cameron LD, Pepper JK, Brewer NT. Responses of young adults to graphic warning labels for cigarette packages. Tob Control 2015;24:e14–22.
- Mannocci A, Colamesta V, Conti V, Cattaruzza MS, Paone G, Cafolla M, Saulle R, Bulzomì V, Antici D, Cuccurullo P, Boccia A, La Torre G, Terzano C. Demographic characteristics, nicotine dependence, and motivation to quit as possible determinants of smoking behaviours and acceptability of shocking warnings in Italy. Biomed Res Int. 2014; 2014;723035.

- Hammond D, Fong GT, Borland R, Cummings KM, McNeill A, Driezen P. Text and graphic warnings on cigarette packages: findings from the International Tobacco Control Four Country Study. Am J Prev Med 2007;32:202–9.
- Borland R, Wilson N, Fong GT, Hammond D, Cummings KM, Yong HH, Hosking W, Hastings G, Thrasher J, McNeill A. Impact of graphic and text warnings on cigarette packs: findings from four countries over five years. Tob Control 2009;18:358– 64.
- Hitchman SC, Mons U, Nagelhout GE, Guignard R, Mcneill A, Willemsen MC, Driezen P, Wilquin JL, Beck F, Du-Roscöat E, Pötschke-Langer M, Hammond D, Fong GT. Effectiveness of the European Union text-only cigarette health warnings: findings from four countries. Eur J Public Health. 2012;22(5):693-9.
- 9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Center for Health Statistics. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhis/tobacco/tobacco_gloss ary.htm. Last access on July 12, 2016.
- 10. WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control. Available at: http://www.who.int/fctc/text_download/en/. Last access July 13, 2016
- Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, Pratt M, Ekelund U, Yngve A, Sallis JF, Oja P. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2003 Aug;35(8):1381-95.
- 12. Mannocci A, Bontempi C, Colamesta V, Ferretti F, Giraldi G, Lombardi A, Meggiolaro A, Miani A, Miccoli S, Rosso A, Saulle R, Unim B, Boccia A, La Torre G. Reliability of the telephone-administered international physical activity questionnaire in an Italian pilot sample. Epidemiology Biostatistics and Public Health 2014; 11(1): e8860-9.